

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (BRL)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**ORDER GRANTING SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO
EXTENSIONS OF TIME TO RESPOND AND ADJOURN PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES**

WHEREAS, Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), as trustee in the above-captioned case (the “Case”) for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC under the Securities Investor Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa, *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and as trustee for the substantively consolidated chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff, has commenced numerous adversary proceedings (“Adversary Proceedings”) related to the above-captioned case;

WHEREAS, this Court previously entered an Order Establishing Litigation Case Management Procedures for Avoidance Actions and Amending the February 16, 2010 Protective Order (Dkt. No. 3141) (the “Case Management Order”) that apply to certain of the Adversary Proceedings;

WHEREAS, this Court previously entered an Order Regarding Stipulations Extending Time to Respond and Adjourning Pre-Trial Conferences (Dkt. No. 4158) in the Case permitting

parties to Adversary Proceedings (the “Parties”) to stipulate to one or more extensions of time within which a defendant in any Adversary Proceeding (a “Defendant”) must respond to the Trustee’s complaint (the “Complaint”) without further Court order, provided that such extensions do not cumulatively exceed six months;

WHEREAS, counsel for certain Defendants in the Adversary Proceedings have submitted numerous requests to extend the response times of defendants in the Adversary Proceedings;

It is hereby

ORDERED, that Parties may stipulate to one or more extensions of time, up to and including March 16, 2012, within which a Defendant in an Adversary Proceeding must respond to the Trustee’s Complaint without further Court order and without regard to whether the Case Management Order governs the related complaint (an “Extension Stipulation”); and it is further

ORDERED, that the Parties may stipulate to one or more adjournments of the date of the pre-trial conference in the respective Adversary Proceeding without further Court order and without regard to whether the Case Management Order governs the related Complaint (a “Pre-Trial Adjournment Stipulation”), provided, however, that the date selected by the Parties is acceptable to the Court and that a courtesy copy of the Pre-Trial Adjournment Stipulation is submitted to the Court’s Chambers; and it is further

ORDERED, that any Extension Stipulation or Pre-Trial Adjournment Stipulation shall be filed on the related Adversary Proceeding docket via the Court’s Electronic Case Filing (“ECF”) system; and it is further

ORDERED, that any Extension Stipulation or Pre-Trial Adjournment Stipulation filed

pursuant to this Order shall be deemed properly served upon ECF filing and that no additional or further service of the Extension Stipulation or the Pre-Trial Adjournment Stipulation shall be required; and it is further

ORDERED, that entry of this Order is without prejudice or limitation to the right of any Defendant to request further extensions of time to respond to any Complaint and/or seek other relief from the Court; and it is further

ORDERED, that the entry of this Order is without prejudice or limitation to the right of the Trustee to object to any request made by any Defendant to extend such Defendant's time to respond to any Complaint and/or seek other relief from the Court; and it is further

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters relating to the interpretation or implementation of this Order.

Dated: New York, New York
October 27, 2011

/s/Burton R. Lifland
HONORABLE BURTON R. LIFLAND
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE